



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATE'S DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/833,653	04/13/2001	Shingo Kamitani	0033-0707P	1738	
2292 7:	590 10/07/2004	•	EXAMINER		
BIRCH STEV	WART KOLASCH &	GERSTL, SHANE F			
PO BOX 747	BOX 747 LS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
TABLE CHOR	22010 0717		2183		
			DATE MAILED: 10/07/200	DATE MAILED: 10/07/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	09/833,653	KAMITANI ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Shane F Gerstl	2183		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 July</u>	uly 2004.			
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 02 July 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment(s)	_			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4)			
Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 2183

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-20 have been examined.

Papers Received

- 2. Receipt is acknowledged of amendment papers submitted, where the papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 3. The objections to the claims, drawings, title, and some of the 35 USC 112 rejections have been overcome by the amendment and are withdrawn herein.
- 4. One of the 35 USC 112 rejections remains as indicated below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claims 1 and 13 recites the limitation "each constant address" in line 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Line 15 states that the constant storage device stores *a* (one) constant, but line 17 refers to multiple addresses for multiple constants. The examiner is taking the claim to read "a plurality of constants" in line 15 as taught in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2183

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

- 9. Claims 1, 6-9, 12-13, 17, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yumoto (5,640,525).
- 10. In regard to claim 1, Yumoto discloses an execution control apparatus of a data driven information processor, wherein a handled instruction includes N+2 (N is an arbitrary integer of at least 1) inputs, and one of the inputs is a constant when an instruction has N+2 inputs; [Yumoto uses 1 or 2-inputs for instructions as shown in column 10, lines 43-45. Since the claim reads that N+2 inputs at most, this means a minimum of 3 inputs at most with N=1. The language "at most" simply requires that a reference teach an embodiment with no more than the disclosed number. Therefore, Yumoto's 1 and 2 input scheme meets the limitation of at most N+2 inputs. The second section of this wherein clause ("...one of the inputs is a constant...") has no support in the body of the claim. There is mention of constant data but no mention that the constants are used as inputs. Because of this and the fact that the section is in a wherein clause, the examiner is not required to give patentable weight to this section of the claim. See MPEP 2106.]

Said execution control apparatus comprising:

a. an instruction decoder that decodes an instruction in an input packet and outputs the number of inputs required for said instruction; [Column 3, lines 27-31 shows that the packet is analyzed by an instruction execution packet detection unit (decoder) and it is determined whether the instruction is a 1 or 2-input

instruction. It is shown here that a flag indicating the result of this determining (the number of inputs) is output.]

- b. a waiting storage region including
 - i. a waiting data storage region that can store N waiting data in each waiting data address,
 - ii. and a data valid flag storage region indicating whether that stores a data valid flag for each waiting data address, said data valid flag indicating whether the N waiting data stored in said each waiting data address is respectively valid or invalid;

[Column 4, lines 16-22 and figures 11 and 12 show a matching memory (waiting storage region) that stores data at addresses that includes a region (PRE flag) that indicates whether the data is valid or invalid. Since is any integer greater than or equal to one, with N equal to one, each address has a corresponding piece of data.]

- c. a constant storage device including
 - i. a region that stores a constant,
 - ii. and a constant valid flag storage region that stores a constant valid flag representing whether a constant stored in each constant address is valid or invalid;

[Column 6, lines 32-40 and figure 20 show a constant data memory (storage device) that stores constant data with a region for storing a valid flag that tells if each constant is valid or invalid.]

Art Unit: 2183

d. a constant readout unit that accesses said constant storage region according to the constant address information included in the input packet to read out a constant and a constant valid flag from a relevant address in said constant storage region (Column 6, lines 32-40);

Page 5

- e. a waiting operation determination unit (column 7, lines 11-12, data pair generation mechanism: interleave flag, address generation circuit, and data select circuit) that
 - i. determines a hash address by a hash calculation from contents of the input packet; [The address generation circuit inherently generates or determines an address. Column 4, lines 5-9 show that data from memory and the packet are checked for hash collision. This means that addresses for both the memory and packet data must be generated by a hash calculation and thus a hash calculation is made for and thus from the input packet.]
 - ii. selects one predetermined way out of a plurality of predetermined ways of processing waiting data; [Column 7, lines 10-26 show that a selected data pair generation mechanism (out of a plurality) manipulates or processes the input data for generation of other data.]
 - iii. outputs a select signal for the predetermined ways of processing waiting data depending upon a combination of a data valid flag for said determined hash address, a constant valid flag read out by said constant readout unit, and the number of instruction inputs output from said

Art Unit: 2183

Page 6

instruction decoder for said waiting data storage region; [Column 4, lines 24-35 show that a packet is output based on the number of inputs and a valid flag for data from the matching memory (addressed by hash address and synonymous with the waiting data region as shown previously).

Column 11, lines 44-47 shows that the selection is based off of a VLD flag (shown in column 10, lines 20-22 to be the valid flag of the constant) as well. Column 4, lines 31-35 show that the valid data flag (PRE) is updated.]

- iv. and updates the data valid flag for said hash address based on the select predetermined way of processing waiting data; [Column 4, lines 31-35 show that the valid data flag (PRE) is updated.]
- f. and a waiting region access unit being responsive to said select signal to implement a waiting process corresponding to said select signal. [Column 7, lines 20-26, shows a data select circuit (waiting region access unit) operates the said mechanisms from above based on the token received from the unit above.]
- 11. In regard to claim 6, Yumoto discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein N of said data valid flags are prepared for one address. [Figure 11 and 12 show that the data valid flags (PRE flags) are prepared for one address.]
- 12. In regard to claim 7, Yumoto discloses the apparatus according to claim 6, wherein
 - a. each data valid flag is prepared of one bit for one waiting data of one address; [Figures 11 and 12 show that each PRE flag associated with one

Art Unit: 2183

Page 7

address. As shown above, the PRE flag indicates whether data is valid (PRE=1) or invalid (PRE=0) and as can be noted from the rest of Yumoto's disclosure, these are the only two values of this flag. Thus the valid flag is one bit.]

- b. and said data valid flag storage region includes N flip-flop circuits for each address, each flip-flop circuit storing a data valid flag of one bit. [Figures 9 and 29 show the matching memory (waiting data storage region), 284. The figures show the memory to have D inputs (DI) and outputs (DQ) for a specified address given on the A input. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize this to mean that the storage area comprises D flip-flops for each address. Since each address has one valid flag associated with it, each flag is stored in a D flip-flop.]
- 13. In regard to claim 8, Yumoto discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein said data valid flag storage region includes an erasable storage circuit that clears the region in response to a reset signal. [Column 4, lines 10-19 show that the valid flag is invalidated or cleared to zero. This means that there is an erasable storage circuit that performs this function. Since this updating or clearing is only done at a certain point, it is in response to a signal, which can be called a reset signal.]
- 14. In regard to claim 9, Yumoto discloses the apparatus according to claim 8, wherein each of data valid flag is prepared of one bit for one waiting data of one address, and said erasable storage circuit includes a D flip-flop circuit for each address, each D flip-flop circuit storing a data valid flag of one bit. [As shown above, the PRE flag indicates whether data is valid (PRE=1) or invalid (PRE=0) and as can be noted from the rest of Yumoto's disclosure, these are the only two values of this flag. Thus

Art Unit: 2183

the valid flag is one bit. Figures 9 and 29 show the matching memory (waiting data storage region), 284. The figures show the memory to have D inputs (DI) and outputs (DQ) for a specified address given on the A input. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize this to mean that the storage area comprises D flip-flops for each address. Since each address has one valid flag associated with it, each flag is stored in a D flip-flop.]

- 15. In regard to claim 12, Yumoto discloses the apparatus according to claim 1, wherein N=1. With N=1, a handled instruction has at most 3 inputs and the waiting data storage holds 1 piece of data for each address. [As described above, the disclosure of Yumoto has 1 and 2 inputs which meets the limitation of at most 3 inputs. Also as shown above and in figures 11 and 12, each address holds one piece of data.]
- 16. In regard to claim 13, Yumoto discloses an execution control method of a data driven information processor, wherein a handled instruction includes N+2 (N is an arbitrary integer of at least 1) inputs, and one of the inputs is a constant when an instruction has N+2 inputs, said data driven information processor comprising: [Yumoto uses 1 or 2-inputs for instructions as shown in column 10, lines 43-45. Since the claim reads that N+2 inputs at most, this means a minimum of 3 inputs at most with N=1. The language "at most" simply requires that a reference teach an embodiment with no more than the disclosed number. Therefore, Yumoto's 1 and 2 input scheme meets the limitation of at most N+2 inputs. The second section of this wherein clause ("...one of the inputs is a constant...") has no support in the body of the claim. There is mention of constant data but no mention that the constants are used as inputs. Because of this

Art Unit: 2183

and the fact that the section is in a wherein clause, the examiner is not required to give patentable weight to this section of the claim. See MPEP 2106.]

Page 9

- a. an instruction decoder that decodes an instruction in an input packet to output the number of inputs required for said instruction; [Column 3, lines 27-31 shows that the packet is analyzed by an instruction execution packet detection unit (decoder) and it is determined whether the instruction is a 1 or 2-input instruction. It is shown here that a flag indicating the result of this determining (the number of inputs) is output.]
- b. a waiting storage region including
 - a waiting data storage region that can store N waiting data in each waiting data address,
 - ii. and a data valid flag storage region that stores a data valid flag for each waiting address, said data valid flag indicating whether the N waiting data stored in said each waiting data address is respectively valid or invalid;

[Column 4, lines 16-22 and figures 11 and 12 show a matching memory (waiting storage region) that stores data at addresses that includes a region (PRE flag) that indicates whether the data is valid or invalid. Since is any integer greater than or equal to one, with N equal to one, each address has a corresponding piece of data.]

- c. a constant storage device including
 - i. a region that stores a constant,

Art Unit: 2183

ii. and a constant valid flag storage region that stores a constant valid flag representing whether a constant stored in each constant address is valid or invalid;

[Column 6, lines 32-40 and figure 20 show a constant data memory (storage device) that stores constant data with a region for storing a valid flag that tells if each constant is valid or invalid.]

- d. a constant readout unit accessing said constant storage region with a node number of the input packet as a constant address to read out a constant and a constant valid flag from a relevant constant address in said constant storage region (Column 6, lines 32-40);
- e. a waiting operation determination unit (column 7, lines 11-12, data pair generation mechanism: interleave flag, address generation circuit, and data select circuit) that
 - i. determines a hash address by a hash calculation from contents of the input packet, [The address generation circuit inherently generates or determines an address. Column 4, lines 5-9 show that data from memory and the packet are checked for hash collision. This means that addresses for both the memory and packet data must be generated by a hash calculation and thus a hash calculation is made for and thus from the input packet.]
 - ii. selects one predtermiend way out of a plurality of predetermined ways of processing waiting data, [Column 7, lines 10-26 show that a

Art Unit: 2183

selected data pair generation mechanism (out of a plurality) manipulates or processes the input data for generation of other data.]

- outputs a select signal for the predetermined way of processing iii. waiting data corresponding to a combination of a data valid flag for said determined address, a constant valid flag read out by said constant readout unit, and the number of instruction inputs output from said instruction decoder for said waiting storage region, [Column 4, lines 24-35] show that a packet is output based on the number of inputs and a valid flag for data from the matching memory (addressed by hash address and synonymous with the waiting data region as shown previously). Column 11, lines 44-47 shows that the selection is based off of a VLD flag (shown in column 10, lines 20-22 to be the valid flag of the constant) as well. Column 4, lines 31-35 show that the valid data flag (PRE) is updated.] iv. and updating the data valid flag for said hash address based on the
- selected predetermined way of processing waiting data; [Column 4, lines 31-35 show that the valid data flag (PRE) is updated.]
- f. and a waiting region access unit being responsive to said select signal to implement a waiting process corresponding to said select signal; [Column 7, lines 20-26, shows a data select circuit (waiting region access unit) operates the said mechanisms from above based on the token received from the unit above.] said method comprising the steps of:

Art Unit: 2183

g. decoding an instruction, wherein an instruction in the input packet is decoded by said instruction decoder, and the number of inputs required by the instruction is output; [Column 3, lines 27-31 shows that the packet is analyzed by an instruction execution packet detection unit (decoder) and it is determined whether the instruction is a 1 or 2-input instruction. It is shown here that a flag

indicating the result of this determining (the number of inputs) is output.]

Page 12

- h. reading out a constant, wherein said constant storage region is accessed based on address information included in the input packet, and a constant and a constant valid flag are read out from a relevant constant address in said constant storage region; [Column 6, lines 32-40 shows all of this including that address information is gotten from the destination information of the instruction token.]
- i. determining a waiting process, wherein
 - i. an hash address is determined by hash calculation from contents in the input packet; [Column 7, lines 11-12 show an address generation circuit for determining an address. Column 4, lines 5-9 show that data from memory and the packet are checked for hash collision. This means that addresses for both the memory and packet data must be generated by a hash calculation and thus a hash calculation is made for and thus from the input packet.
 - ii. one predetermined way out of a plurality of predetermined ways of processing waiting data is selected, [Column 7, lines 10-26 show that a

Art Unit: 2183

Page 13

selected data pair generation mechanism (out of a plurality) manipulates or processes the input data for generation of other data.]

- iii. a select signal for the predetermined way of processing waiting data is output corresponding to a combination of a data valid flag for said determined hash address, a constant valid flag read out from said constant readout unit, and the number of instructions output from said instruction decoder for said waiting storage region; [Column 4, lines 24-35] show that a packet is output based on the number of inputs and a valid flag for data from the matching memory (addressed by hash address and synonymous with the waiting data region as shown previously). Column 11, lines 44-47 shows that the selection is based off of a VLD flag (shown in column 10, lines 20-22 to be the valid flag of the constant) as well. Column 4, lines 31-35 show that the valid data flag (PRE) is updated.] iv. and the data valid flag is updated corresponding to said hash address based on the selected predetermined way of processing way of processing data; [Column 4, lines 31-35 show that the valid data flag (PRE) is updated.]
- j. And executing the waiting process, wherein, in response to said select signal, a waiting process corresponding to said select process is performed.

 [Column 7, lines 20-26, shows a data select circuit (waiting region access unit) operates the said mechanisms from above based on the token received from the unit above.]

Art Unit: 2183

17. In regard to claim 17, Yumoto discloses the apparatus according to claim 13, wherein said data valid flag storage region includes an erasable storage circuit clearing the region in response to a reset signal, said method further comprising the step of applying a reset signal to said storage circuit, thereby clearing said data valid flag storage region. [Column 4, lines 10-19 show that the valid flag is invalidated or cleared to zero. This means that there is an erasable storage circuit that performs this function. Since this updating or clearing is only done at a certain point, it is in response to a signal, which can be called a reset signal.]

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 19. Claims 2-5 and 14-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yumoto in view of Choquette (6,530,011).
- 20. In regard to claim 2,
 - Yumoto discloses the apparatus according to claim 1,
 - b. Yumoto does not disclose the apparatus
 - i. wherein said constant storage region includes a first constant storage region that stores constant data of a first type, and a second constant storage region that stores constant data of a second type,

Art Unit: 2183

ii. wherein said constant readout unit identifies whether the readout constant is of said first type or said second type according to the address. [Yumoto does disclose, as shown above, a constant readout unit for reading constant values from a constant storage region.]

Page 15

- c. Choquette has disclosed a constant storage region including a first constant storage region that stores constant data of a first type, and a second constant storage region that stores constant data of a second type; [Column 4, lines 62-65 show a register file (constant storage region) that contains two sets of register banks (first and second constant storage region) that holds two different types of constant data, vector and scalar data. Since the constant readout unit disclosed by Yumoto reads the constant data out from the constant storage region, when the dual-type constant storage region of Choquette is used with the disclosure of Yumoto, this constant readout unit it would inherently identify whether a constant is of the first type or second type (according to the register bank and thus the address) since, as shown in column 1, lines 16-24 of Choquette, the two types are represented differently and treated differently.]
- d. Choquette has shown in column 1, lines 43-46 that using vectors improves system performance for data accesses and computations. This improved performance would have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Yumoto to use vectors and store them in the manner disclosed by Choquette.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the design of Yumoto to include the use of vectors and store them in the manner disclosed by Choquette so that system performance is improved.

Page 16

- 21. In regard to claim 3, Yumoto in view of Choquette has disclosed the apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said constant data of the first type is a scalar constant, and said constant data of the second type is a vector constant, as shown above.
- 22. In regard to claim 4, Yumoto in view of Choquette has disclosed the apparatus according to claim 3, wherein each input packet can store plurality of data, and said waiting operation determination unit can store a plurality of data for each packet. [Figures 14 and 15 show the format of data packets (column, 9, lines 10-13) and it can be seen that the data packets store a plurality of data via each field in the packet. Since no type or use of the data was specified in the claim, this is sufficient to meet this data limitation of the claim. Column 6, lines 66-67 shows that the above described matching memory, which stores a plurality of waiting data for the packets, is included with the data pair generation mechanisms (waiting operation determination unit).]
- 23. In regard to claim 5,
 - a. Yumoto in view of Choquette, as described above, has disclosed the apparatus according to claim 2, wherein said constant data of the first type is a scalar constant of a first length, and said constant data of the second type is a constant of a second length different from said first length. [Figure 3, shows that the double precision scalar data is if a different length than the single precision vector data.]

Art Unit: 2183

b. Yumoto in view of Choquette, as described above, does not disclose the apparatus wherein said constant data of the second type is a scalar constant of a second length different from said first length.

- c. Choquette does disclose, as seen in figure 3, a second scalar constant type of a double precision word length.
- d. Choquette has shown in column 8, lines 28-33 that calculations use both single and double precision data interchangeably when necessary. This allows for great flexibility in the type of calculations that may be performed since two data types are used. This flexibility of execution would have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the design of Yumoto in view of Choquette to include the use of two scalar data types of different lengths as taught by Choquette.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the design of Yumoto in view of Choquette to include the use of two different scalar data types and lengths as taught by Choquette so that greater flexibility in execution is attained.

- 24. In regard to claim 14,
 - Yumoto discloses the method according to claim 13,
 - b. Yumoto does not disclose the method
 - i. wherein said constant storage region includes a first constant storage region that stores constant data of a first type, and a second constant storage region that stores constant data of a second type.

Art Unit: 2183

ii. wherein said step of reading out a constant includes the steps of:

- (1) determining whether the readout constant is of said first type or said second type based on the address.
- (2) And reading out the constant.

Yumoto does disclose, as shown above, a constant readout unit for reading constant values from a constant storage region.

- c. Choquette has disclosed a constant storage region including a first constant storage region that stores constant data of a first type, and a second constant storage region that stores constant data of a second type; [Column 4, lines 62-65 show a register file (constant storage region) that contains two sets of register banks (first and second constant storage region) that holds two different types of constant data, vector and scalar data. Since the constant readout unit disclosed by Yumoto reads the constant data out from the constant storage region, when the dual-type constant storage region of Choquette is used with the disclosure of Yumoto, this constant readout unit it would inherently identify whether a constant is of the first type or second type (according to the register bank and thus the address) since, as shown in column 1, lines 16-24 of Choquette, the two types are represented differently and treated differently.]
- d. Choquette has shown in column 1, lines 43-46 that using vectors improves system performance for data accesses and computations. This improved performance would have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Yumoto to use vectors and store them in the manner disclosed by Choquette.

Page 19

Art Unit: 2183

Application/Control Number: 09/833,653

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the design of Yumoto to include the use of vectors and store them in the manner disclosed by Choquette so that system performance is improved.

- 25. In regard to claim 15, Yumoto in view of Choquette has disclosed the method according to claim 14, wherein said constant data of the first type is a scalar constant, and said constant data of the second type is a vector constant, as shown above.
- 26. In regard to claim 16,
 - a. Yumoto in view of Choquette, as described above, has disclosed the apparatus according to claim 14, wherein said constant data of the first type is a scalar constant of a first length, and said constant data of the second type is a constant of a second length different from said first length. [Figure 3, shows that the double precision scalar data is if a different length than the single precision vector data.]
 - b. Yumoto in view of Choquette, as described above, does not disclose the method wherein said constant data of the second type is a scalar constant of a second length differing from said first length.
 - c. Choquette does disclose, as seen in figure 3, a second scalar constant type of a double precision word length.
 - d. Choquette has shown in column 8, lines 28-33 that calculations use both single and double precision data interchangeably when necessary. This allows for great flexibility in the type of calculations that may be performed since two data types are used. This flexibility of execution would have motivated one of

Art Unit: 2183

ordinary skill in the art to modify the design of Yumoto in view of Choquette to include the use of two scalar data types of different lengths as taught by Choquette.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the design of Yumoto in view of Choquette to include the use of two different scalar data types and lengths as taught by Choquette so that greater flexibility in execution is attained.

- 27. Claims 11 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yumoto in view of Hennessy.
- 28. In regard to claim 11,
 - a. Yumoto discloses the apparatus according to claim 13, and to a certain extent wherein N=2. With N=2, a handled instruction has at most 4 inputs and the waiting data storage holds 2 pieces of data for each address. As described above, the disclosure of Yumoto has 1 and 2 inputs which meets the limitation of at most 4 inputs.
 - b. Yumoto does not disclose that 2 pieces of data are stored for each address in the waiting data storage region as is the case when N=2.
 - c. Hennessy teaches on pages 429-431, a manner of interleaving memory. It is shown that multiple reads or writes occur with this type of memory design. An address is sent to multiple banks where the multiple stored data is manipulated. Therefore, a memory storage system is disclosed that describes storing two pieces of data for each address.

Art Unit: 2183

d. This ability to read or write multiple words at a time gives a performance boost that would have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the design of Yumoto to include the memory interleaving design disclosed by Hennessy.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the design of Yumoto to include the interleaved memory design taught by Hennessy so that memory performance may be increased.

- 29. In regard to claim 19,
 - a. Yumoto discloses the method according to claim 1, and to a certain extent wherein N=2. With N=2, a handled instruction has at most 4 inputs and the waiting data storage holds 2 pieces of data for each address. As described above, the disclosure of Yumoto has 1 and 2 inputs which meets the limitation of at most 4 inputs.
 - b. Yumoto does not disclose that 2 pieces of data are stored for each address in the waiting data storage region as is the case when N=2.
 - c. Hennessy teaches on pages 429-431, a manner of interleaving memory. It is shown that multiple reads or writes occur with this type of memory design. An address is sent to multiple banks where the multiple stored data is manipulated. Therefore, a memory storage system is disclosed that describes storing two pieces of data for each address.
 - d. This ability to read or write multiple words at a time gives a performance boost that would have motivated one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the

design of Yumoto to include the memory interleaving design disclosed by Hennessy.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the design of Yumoto to include the interleaved memory design taught by Hennessy so that memory performance may be increased.

Response to Arguments

- 30. Applicant's arguments filed 7/02/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 31. Regarding claim 1, Applicant argues that the hash collision detection unit that compares the hash overflow destination specifying portion in the matching memory and the input packet of Yumoto is not analogous to "a hash calculation from contents of the input packet" for determining a hash address. The address generation circuit given in Yumoto as described above inherently generates or determines an address. Column 4, lines 5-9 show that data from memory and the packet are checked for hash collision. This means that addresses for both the memory and packet data must be generated by a hash calculation and thus a hash calculation is made for and thus from the input packet.
- 32. Applicant then argues for claim 1 that select information indicating which of a plurality of dynamic data pair generation mechanism to be selected and destination information included in an applied data packet is not analogous to a waiting operation determination unit that "selects one predetermined way out of a plurality of predetermined ways for processing waiting data." Column 7, lines 10-26 of Yumoto

Art Unit: 2183

show that the selected data pair generation mechanism (out of a plurality) manipulates or processes the input data for generation of other data, thus meeting the limitation.

- 33. Applicant also argues in regard to claim 1 that the writing of the input packet into an appropriate address of matching memory and outputting the packet with a valid value of a hash collision as in Yumoto is not analogous to outputting "a select signal for the predetermined way of processing waiting data depending upon a combination of a data valid flag for said determined hash address, a constant valid flag read out by said constant readout unit, and the number of instruction inputs output from said instruction decoder for said waiting data storage region, and updates the data valid flag for said hash address based on the select predetermined way of processing waiting data."

 Column 4, lines 24-35 show that a packet is output based on the number of inputs and a valid flag for data from the matching memory (addressed by hash address and synonymous with the waiting data region as shown previously). Column 11, lines 44-47 shows that the selection is based off of a VLD flag (shown in column 10, lines 20-22 to be the valid flag of the constant) as well. Column 4, lines 31-35 show that the valid data flag (PRE) is updated.
- 34. Applicant has argued that the arguments for claim 13 are analogous to those of claim 1 and thus the above comments by the Office are also applicable to claim 13.
- 35. The dependent claims remain rejected as set forth above since the parent independent claims remain rejected.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2183

36. Claims 10 and 18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. When the number of inputs is N+2, this means that the number of inputs is 3 or more depending on N. The prior art of record does not specifically teach a dataflow processor where when the number of inputs is 3 or more, the constant flag is set to an invalid state and the number of instruction inputs is set to N+1 where these new values are then output to the waiting processing unit. In addition, no prior art of record suggests that it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the prior art of record wherein when the number of inputs is 3 or more, the constant flag is set to an invalid state and the number of instruction inputs is set to N+1 where these new values are then output to the waiting processing unit.

Conclusion

37. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2183

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 38. The following is text cited from 37 CFR 1.111(c): In amending in reply to a rejection of claims in an application or patent under reexamination, the applicant or patent owner must clearly point out the patentable novelty which he or she thinks the claims present in view of the state of the art disclosed by the references cited or the objections made. The applicant or patent owner must also show how the amendments avoid such references or objections.
- 39. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The references cited in the previous Action remain pertinent and thus are cited herein as well.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shane F Gerstl whose telephone number is (571) 272-4166 after October 12 and (703) 305-7305 before October 12. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:45-4:15 (First Friday Off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Chan can be reached on (571) 272-4162 after October 12 and (703) 305-9712 before October 12. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2183

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shane F Gerstl Examiner Art Unit 2183

SFG October 1, 2004

EDDIE CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100